HARROW COUNCIL

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

3 FEBRUARY 2004

NEW HARROW PROJECT – PHASE 2 DRAFT SCOPE

1	SUBJECT	New Harrow Project – Phase 2
2	COMMITTEE	Overview & Scrutiny
3	REVIEW GROUP	Lead Member - Cllr Jean Lammiman Members – Cllrs Blann, Mitzi Green, Ann Groves, Osborn, Versallion
4	AIMS/ OBJECTIVES	To review whether the NHP provides Value for Money and delivers the services by examining: 1. the concept behind the NHP and determining whether the project is well conceived and understood both internally and externally 2. the structures established to implement the NHP and their appropriateness for achieving the project's aims and aspirations 3. the positive impact that the NHP is, and has the potential for, making and the timescale for the anticipated outcomes
5	MEASURES OF SUCCESS	1. Transparency and clarity over the rationale behind, and process followed, which led to the conception and adoption of the NHP 2. Improved understanding both internally and externally of the aims and objectives of the NHP 3. Clarity and understanding of the officer accountabilities to deliver the project's aims and aspirations 4. Clarity and understanding of how the Member structure 'fits' with the NHP, Member accountabilities for service performance and the fulfilment of the Ward Councillor's representational role 5. Embedding of appropriate structures and procedures to manage, deliver and support services efficiently and effectively in ways which reflect the aims and objectives of the NHP 6. Examination of the impact that NHP has had to date on Public Realm Maintenance and the potential that it has to impact on community schools services 7. Identification of the framework which has/is to be put in place to evaluate the impact of the new structure 8. Exploration of the commitment of partner and stakeholder bodies in working jointly with the Council in delivering the aims and objectives of the NHP 9. Involvement of Ward Councillors, staff, partner bodies and community representatives in the review process 10. Production of a report on the findings of the review, with any appropriate recommendations for Cabinet consideration.

6	SERVICE	The NHP is central to the advancement of all of the Council's
	PRIORITIES	corporate priorities
	(Corporate/Dept)	corporate priorities
7	SCOPE*	Concept
'	SCOPE	Concept
		Identification of the problems which led to NHP
		Rationale behind the NHP concept
		Analysis, research, budgetary projections and project planning
		undertaken prior to adoption
		Communications on NHP – internal and external
		Implementation
		Examination of appropriateness of new structures to achieve aims
		Examination of procedures put in place to support new structures
		Exploration of the extent to which partner bodies and
		stakeholders have 'bought into' NHP
		Review of the evaluation framework put/to be put in place
		Impact
		Consideration of how NHP outcomes will help to deliver the
		corporate plan and other key strategies
		Consideration of impact of NHP on improved CPA rating
		Examination of any Best Practice models (including of discrete
		services) elsewhere if appropriate
		Public satisfaction
		See also 2 case studies for details
8	LEAD MEMBERS*	Cllr. Jean Lammiman
9	REVIEW	Cllr. Jean Lammiman Jill Rothwell
9	REVIEW SPONSORS*	Jill Rothwell
	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/	Jill Rothwell John Robinson
9	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE	Jill Rothwell
9	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown
9	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY	Jill Rothwell John Robinson
9 10 11	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins
9	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public,
9 10 11	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector
9 10 11	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA &
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators),
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors,
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders)
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders) Meetings/discussions with HSP Board, stakeholders, staff, AC
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders)
9 10 11 12 13	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders) Meetings/discussions with HSP Board, stakeholders, staff, AC lead inspector for LBH
9 10 11 12	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT* METHODOLOGY*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders) Meetings/discussions with HSP Board, stakeholders, staff, AC lead inspector for LBH
9 10 11 12 13	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders) Meetings/discussions with HSP Board, stakeholders, staff, AC lead inspector for LBH Other Local Authorities have valid experience to input & time available
9 10 11 12 13	REVIEW SPONSORS* LEAD OFFICER/ ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER* SCRUTINY SUPPORT* EXTERNAL INPUT* METHODOLOGY*	Jill Rothwell John Robinson Peter Brown Frances Hawkins Stakeholders, partner bodies, other Local Authorities, public, external inspector Desktop research – published NHP Committee reports, IDeA & CPA reviews, research & project planning undertaken prior to the adoption of the project (including any best value comparators), communications on NHP with the public, public satisfaction data Individual discussions with Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Area Directors Meeting with NHP Panel (including Group Leaders) Meetings/discussions with HSP Board, stakeholders, staff, AC lead inspector for LBH

15	TIMESCALE*	Interim report 2004 (Process ongoing onto completion of NHP project in 2006)
16	SCRUTINY RESOURCE COMMITMENTS*	Yet to be identified Scrutiny resources to be proactively managed, with flexible deployment, pooling of resources and re-allocation of any 'spare' resources wherever possible
17	REPORT AUTHOR*	Cllr Jean Lammiman supported by the Scrutiny Unit

NB * Also see appended 2 case studies

CASE STUDY 1 – COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PILOT

7.2	SCOPE	Droopege heing actablished to support island up working an
1.2	JOUPL	 Processes being established to support joined up working on the pilot
		Resources available to support the pilot
		Overview of services/initiatives contributing to pilot
8.3	LEAD MEMBERS	Cllr Mitzi Green supported by Cllr Jean Lammiman. Other Members Cllrs Mrs Bath, Miss Bednell, Lent and Marie-Louise Nolan
9.2	WORKSTREAM SPONSOR	Paul Osburn, Executive Director, People First
10.2	ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER	Michael Hart, Area Director, People First
11.2	SUPPORT OFFICER	Scrutiny Officer
12.2	EXTERNAL INPUT	Stakeholders, partners, community groups, public
13.2	METHODOLOGY	 Attendance at briefing for all Council Members Presentation by project manager on evaluation framework & performance against targets, benchmarking exercise Desktop consideration of results of community survey Meeting with staff involved in pilot including Community Learning Co-ordinators, language support & community based workers Use of existing evidence already collected by scrutiny bodies to inform this workstream Discussion with partner bodies & community groups (At evaluation stage, meeting with local residents, families & pupils to consider success of those services/initiatives identified for detailed examination)
14.2	ASSUMPTIONS/ CONSTRAINTS	Pilot will be sufficiently advanced for meaningful review in timescale set Members determine and lead on the review, supported by officers to the level of resource indicated in the project plan Resources of Members, Senior Departmental officers and Scrutiny Unit
15.2	SCRUTINY RESOURCE COMMITMENTS	All Review Group Members 5.5 days Cllr Mitzi Green - additional 5.5 days Scrutiny Unit 4 days 03-04, 14.5 days 04-05 Scrutiny unit resources to be proactively managed, with flexible deployment, pooling of resources and re-allocation of any 'spare' resources wherever possible
16.2	TIMESCALE	January – May 2004 for initial phase – (provisional – TBC)
17.2	REPORT AUTHOR	Cllr Mitzi Green supported by Scrutiny Officer
15.2	SCRUTINY RESOURCE COMMITMENTS	pupils to consider success of those services/initiatives identified for detailed examination) Pilot will be sufficiently advanced for meaningful review timescale set Members determine and lead on the review, supported by office to the level of resource indicated in the project plan Resources of Members, Senior Departmental officers at Scrutiny Unit All Review Group Members 5.5 days Cllr Mitzi Green - additional 5.5 days Scrutiny Unit 4 days 03-04, 14.5 days 04-05 Scrutiny unit resources to be proactively managed, with flexible deployment, pooling of resources and re-allocation of any 'spair resources wherever possible January – May 2004 for initial phase – (provisional – TBC)

CASE STUDY 2 - PUBLIC REALM MAINTENANCE - AREAS 2&3

7.3	SCOPE	 Standards of 'Street Scene' maintenance achieved against targets and residents' needs
		Integration of other services, including People First Services,
		into NHP and outcomes of measures implemented
		 Increase in the level of resident involvement and overall satisfaction achieved in Areas 2&3
		Effectiveness of the plans/infrastructure put into place to
		support ongoing maintenance of standards in Areas 2&3
		Effects of roll out to Areas 2&3 on service standards in other areas
		 Evaluation of the options for further roll out of Public Realm
		Maintenance across the Borough & cost/benefits of so doing
8.3	LEAD MEMBERS	Cllr Blann, supported by Cllrs Ann Groves & Vina Mithani. Other
0.0		Ward Members to be agreed
9.3	WORKSTREAM	Tony Lear, Exec Director (Urban Living)
	SPONSOR	
103	ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER	Andrew Trehern, Area Director (Urban Living)
11.3	SUPPORT OFFICER	Scrutiny Officer
12.3	EXTERNAL INPUT	Residents, local commercial & other stakeholders, Ward
		Councillors, partner agencies
13.3	METHODOLOGY	Presentation by Project Manager on the operation of Areas
		2&3 & evaluation of performance against targets
		Analysis of feedback from customer feedback cards in
		Areas 2&3
		 Invite feedback comments directly to scrutiny, using NHP
		Newsletter
		Inspection tour of areas
		Discussions with Project Steering Group & key officers,
		working both directly on project & in other service areas,
		including children and learning services
		Meeting with residents & local stakeholders Discussions with local community groups & partner hadiag
		Discussions with local community groups & partner bodies Informal liaison with NHP Panel & Ward Councillors
14.3	ASSUMPTIONS/	Pilots will be sufficiently embedded within timescale to allow
	CONSTRAINTS	interim evaluation
		Members determine and lead on the review, supported by officers
		to the level of resource indicated in the project plan
		Resources of Members, Departmental officers and Scrutiny Unit
15.3	SCRUTINY	All Review Group Members 8 days
	RESOURCE	Cllrs Blann – additional 4 days
	COMMITMENTS	Scrutiny Unit 12 days 03-04
		Scrutiny unit resources to be proactively managed, with flexible
		deployment, pooling of resources and re-allocation of any 'spare'
4		resources wherever possible
16.3	TIMESCALE	Interim report April 2004 (provisional)
4= -	DEDORE ALIEUS	Final report Sept 2004
17.3	REPORT AUTHOR	Cllr Blann supported by Scrutiny Officer